

Download James Aldridge V. State Alaska

State, 511 P.2d 1076, 1078 (Alaska 1973), that possession of an unusable trace of a particular drug, in and of itself, is not sufficient to warrant conviction. In my opinion, the unusable quantity found and other direct or circumstantial evidence must be sufficient to support a finding of knowing possession of a usable quantity of the prohibited drug. Therefore, I would reexamine the standard adopted by the majority in Judd. Get free access to the complete judgment in ALDRIDGE v. STATE on CaseMine. Opinion for Aldridge v. State, 584 P.2d 1105 — Brought to you by Free Law Project, a non-profit dedicated to creating high quality open legal information. Daryl JAMES, George James, Embert James, Loren James, and Lillian Charles, Petitioners, v. STATE of Alaska, Respondent. STATE of Alaska, Respondent. No. S-7350. - James Aldridge V. State Alaska